



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United	States Patent and Trademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	WALTE HERITA ONE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/868,522	11/05/2001	Rainer Beer	951/49898	1389
23911 75	3911 7590 02/09/2004		EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			GRIER, LAURA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20044-4300		2644	-8
		•	DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004	, 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		BEER ET AL.
. Occ. Astion Summans	09/868,522	Art Unit
	Examiner	2644
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	Laura A Grier	
oriod for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply b within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS t	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is , 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 November 2001 isla Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)∟ of drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apportity documents have been re Bau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
		_/
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1. 	Paper No(s)	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-157
	Action Summary	Part of Paper N

Application/Control Number: 09/868,522 Page 2

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09868522, filed on 6/19/01.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 6/19/01 has been considered by the examiner.

Specification

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because line 3, recites "fo", the suggested spelling should be -- for --; and line 4 recites "Said", which is legal phraseology. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Application/Control Number: 09/868,522 Page 3

Art Unit: 2644

Claim Objections

5. Claims 4, 6 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 4 and 7 are dependent upon claim 1, which is a cancelled claim.

Regarding claim 4, for examination purposes and the interpretation of the claim language of claim 3, claim 4 will be examined as dependent upon claim 3. Appropriate correction is required.

Regarding claim 6, line 2, recites "said first amplifier" and line 3, recites "the second amplifier". There is insufficient antecedent basis for dependence of claim 3. By the interpretation of the claim language of claim 5 and claim 6, it is assumed that claim 6 should depend from claim 5. Thus, for examination purposes, claim 6 will be examined as dependent upon claim 5. Appropriate correction is required.

Regarding claim 7, line 2, recites "said first amplifier" and line 3, recites "the second amplifier". There is insufficient antecedent basis for dependence of claim 4. By the interpretation of the claim language of claim 5 and claim 7, it is assumed that claim 7 should depend from claim 5. Thus, for examination purposes, claim 7 will be examined as dependent upon claim 5. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/868,522 Page 4

Art Unit: 2644

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

- 7. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 8. Claim 3, 5-6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Milne et al., U. S. Patent No. 5983087.

Regarding **claim 3**, Milne et al. (herein, Milne) discloses a distributed digital signal processing for vehicle audio systems (figures 1-3). Milne's disclosure comprises a radio (10) that includes an optical receiver and a SPDIF receiver (references 40 and 42, and col. 2, lines 64-67 and col. 1), which reads on an audio signal receiver;

amplifiers (76) coupled by a digital data bus which is a fiber optic data link via the connection of the DSP (20) module(s) which is coupled to the receivers (col. 3, lines 18-30 and figure 3), which reads on at least one amplifier connected by an optical wave guide, and each amplifier is coupled to a speaker (22), which reads on a loudspeaker;

and with each amplifier connected to a speaker via crossover filter characteristics, and one of the speakers being a woofer, and additional subwoofer (col. 2, lines 37-44), reads on a separate amplifier provided for low audio frequencies;

Application/Control Number: 09/868,522

Art Unit: 2644

the audio processing of the speakers (col. 3, lines 38-57) and the crossover features (filters) of the speakers, inherently discloses the separate amplifier (amplifier for the low frequency) supplies a high operating voltage than the other frequencies as evident by the fact that a boost (voltage) is provided to the low frequency signals, wherein low frequency signals utilize higher power.

Regarding claim 5, Milne et al. (herein, Milne) discloses a distributed digital signal processing for vehicle audio systems (figures 1-3). Milne's disclosure comprises a radio (10) that includes an optical receiver and a SPDIF receiver (references 40 and 42, and col. 2, lines 64-67 and col. 1), which reads on an audio signal receiver;

amplifiers (76) coupled by a digital data bus which is a fiber optic data link via the connection of the DSP (20) module(s) which is coupled to the receivers (col. 3, lines 18-30 and figure 3), which reads on a first amplifier connected by an optical wave guide with the receiver, and a second amplifier connected by another optical wave guide with the receiver;

the speakers (22) are each coupled to an amplifier, wherein the speakers include a woofer, and additional subwoofer (col. 2, lines 37-44), which reads on at least one low frequency speaker coupled to a first amplifier; and

the speakers (22) also include a tweeter (col. 2, lines 37-44), which reads on at least one high frequency speaker coupled to a second amplifier; and

the audio processing of the speakers (col. 3, lines 38-65) and crossover features (filters), inherently discloses the amplifiers supplying different operating voltages as evident by the fact that a boost (voltage) is provided to the low frequency signals, wherein low frequency signals utilize higher power.

Application/Control Number: 09/868,522

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding claim 6, Milne discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 3). Milne's audio processing of the speakers (col. 3, lines 38-57) and the crossover features (filters) of the speakers, inherently discloses the separate amplifier (amplifier for the low frequency) supplies a high operating voltage than the other frequencies as evident by the fact that a boost (voltage) is provided to the low frequency signals, wherein low frequency signals utilize higher power.

Regarding claim 7, Milne discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 4). Milne's audio processing of the speakers (col. 3, lines 38-57) and the crossover features (filters) of the speakers, inherently discloses the 1st amplifier (amplifier for the low frequency) supplies a high operating voltage more than the voltage supplied to the 2nd amplifier as evident by the fact that a boost (extra voltage) is provided to the low frequency signals, wherein low frequency signals usually utilize higher power.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milne in view of the applicant's admitted prior art (herein, AAPA).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/868,522

Art Unit: 2644

Page 7

Regarding claim 4, Milne discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 3). However, Milne fails to disclose the separate amplifier with an operating of at least equal to 42 volt in comparison to 12 volt for the at least one amplifier of the other frequencies.

The AAPA (page 1) discloses that the normal operating voltage is 12 volt for an audio system of the kind, and depending upon the resistance of a speaker and power amplifiers, distortion occurs, and, indicates that a higher voltage may used for the amplifier to avoid distortion. Thus, given the situation of having two or more amplifiers of different operating voltages, a higher voltage for low frequencies, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Milne by providing a varied difference in the power voltages of the amplifiers, wherein the amplifier powering the low audio frequencies would have a voltage high enough, like 42 volt or a higher voltage as desired to eliminate harmonic distortions, which occur among low frequencies signals, and interferences and other common noise transients to provide an optimally desired audio signal over a wide frequency range.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A Grier whose telephone number is (703) 306-4819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

· Application/Control Number: 09/868,522

Art Unit: 2644

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

LAG Laural. Musike February 4, 2004